

1 JANE DOE F-1,

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,

5 Defendants.

6 JANE DOE LS 90,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,

10 Defendants.

11 R T,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC., et al.,

15 Defendants.

16 JANE DOE LS 231,

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,

20 Defendants.

21 Case No. [23-cv-03945-HSG](#)

22 **REFERRAL FOR PURPOSE OF
DETERMINING RELATIONSHIP**

23 Case No. [23-cv-03956-HSG](#)

24 Case No. [23-cv-04301-HSG](#)

25 Case No. [23-cv-04367-HSG](#)

1 E. E.,
2 Plaintiff,
3 v.
4 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,
5 Defendants.

Case No. 23-cv-04744-HSG

6
7 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12(c) and Rule 7.2(a) of the Rules of Procedure of the U.S.
8 Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the above-entitled cases are hereby **REFERRED** to
9 Judge Charles R. Breyer for consideration of whether the cases are related to *In re: Uber*
10 *Technologies, Inc.*, Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12 Dated: 10/12/2023

13 
14 HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
United States District Judge